

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/765,649		01/26/2004	Ronald Paul Rohrbach	HO1-0010	O1-0010 1181	
23413	7590	04/11/2006		EXAMINER		
CANTOR		•	CINTINS, IVARS C			
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1724			

. DATE MAILED: 04/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/765,649	ROHRBACH ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ivars C. Cintins	1724				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication aport or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte afte - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communi ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 F	February 2006.					
		is action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowardosed in accordance with the practice under			its is			
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	n					
-,_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	2.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		, (=, 0. (.).				
ŕ	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		ion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price			9			
	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen	ut(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom Application (FTO-132)				

Application/Control Number: 10/765,649 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

Prosecution before the Primary Examiner is hereby reopened, the Final Rejection dated December 27, 2005 is withdrawn, and the response filed February 21, 2006 has been entered.

The Terminal Disclaimer filed February 21, 2006 has been approved, and is deemed to overcome the obviousness-type double patenting rejection contained in the previous Office Action.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. The term "bar" (claim 11, line 2) is vague, and indefinite as to the limitation intended.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4-11 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brownawell et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,069,799; hereinafter "Brownawell '799"). Brownawell '799 discloses an oil filter comprising a hollow housing having an inlet and an outlet, a mechanically active filter member (i.e. "inactive filter media" 12) disposed inside the housing, and a chemically active filter member (i.e. 14) disposed inside this housing. This reference further discloses an embodiment (see Fig.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,649

Art Unit: 1724

2) having a supplemental cartridge with a chemically active filter member (i.e. 30) disposed therein. The chemically active filter member includes a plurality of particles (see col. 2, line 6) containing a beneficial additive such as a basic salt of the type recited (see col. 2, lines 12-17). This reference further teaches that the chemically active filter media may include a polymeric binder (see col. 2, line 2). Accordingly, this reference discloses the claimed invention with the exception of the diameter of the particles in the chemically active filter member, the percentage of polymeric binder present, the percentage of basic salt in these particles, and the specific polymer binder employed (claims 2 and 14). However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ particles having the recited diameter, polymeric binder content, and basic salt content in the reference system, in order to optimize the treatment capability of these particles. Applicant should note that the particles in the Brownawell '799 device will inherently have some particle size, some percentage of polymeric binder, and some percentage of basic salt. Since the record does not show that Applicant is able to obtain a new and unexpected result from the recited combination of particle size, polymer binder percentage and basic salt percentage, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the oil treatment art to select the recited values for these parameters in the system of Brownawell '799, in order to obtain results which are commensurate with such selected values. Also, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ any of the polymeric materials recited in claims 2 and 14 as the "polymer matrix" of Brownawell '799 (see col. 2, line 2), since these polymeric materials

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/765,649 Page 4

Art Unit: 1724

are capable of supporting the calcium carbonate or calcium hydroxide of the primary reference in the required manner.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brownawell '799 as applied above, and further in view of Bilski et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,725,031; hereinafter "Bilski"). Brownawell '799 as modified above discloses the claimed invention with the exception of the recited location of the chemically active filter element with respect to the mechanically active filter element. Bilski discloses a similar oil filter containing both a mechanically active filter element and means for adding a chemical to oil undergoing treatment, and further discloses (see Fig. 1) locating the chemical adding element radially and coaxially inside the mechanically active filter element. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to locate the chemically active filter element (i.e. 14) of Brownawell '799 inside the mechanically active filter element (i.e. 12), as suggested by Bilski, in order to produce a more compact filtration and treatment device.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brownawell '799 and DeJovine as applied above, and further in view of Robers et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,544,699; hereinafter "Robers"). The modified primary reference discloses the claimed invention with the exception of the recited auxiliary inlet and outlet tubes. Robers discloses an oil filter having auxiliary inlet and outlet tubes (42 and 44), in order to cool the oil in the system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the system of the modified primary reference with the cooling arrangement of Robers, in order to obtain the

Art Unit: 1724

advantages disclosed by this secondary reference for the system of the modified primary reference.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to I. Cintins whose telephone number is 571-272-1155. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Duane Smith, can be reached at 571-272-1166.

The centralized facsimile number for the USPTO is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ivars C. Cintins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724

I. Cintins April 9, 2006